

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

GENLYTE THOMAS GROUP LLC,
a Delaware Limited Liability Company
Plaintiff,

v.

ARCHITECTURAL LIGHTING SYSTEMS, a
division of ARCH LIGHTING GROUP, a Rhode
Island Corporation

Defendant.

Civil Action No. 05-CV-10945 WGY

GENLYTE THOMAS' PROPOSED JURY VERDICT FORM

Plaintiff Genlyte Thomas Group LLC. submits the Proposed Jury Verdict Form
subject to the right to request that it be revised to comfort with the evidence presented at
trial.

Respectfully submitted,

GENLYTE THOMAS GROUP LLC

By Its Attorneys,

/s/ Kevin Gannon

James E. Milliman (Pro hac vice)
James R. Higgins, Jr. (Pro hac vice)
Robert J. Theuerkauf (Pro hac vice)
MIDDLETON REUTLINGER
2500 Brown & Williamson Tower
Louisville KY 40202
Telephone: (502) 584-1135
Facsimile: (502) 561-0442

-and-

Thomas C. O'Konski BBO#337475
Kevin Gannon BBO#640931
Cesari and McKenna, LLP
88 Black Falcon Avenue
Boston, MA 02210
Telephone: (617) 951-2500
Facsimile: (617) 951-3927

VERDICT FORM 1

1. Has the plaintiff Genlyte Thomas Group LLC proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant Architectural Lighting Systems, Inc. has literally infringed claim 1 of the '254 Patent.

<u>ALS' PRODUCTS</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
MT2		
MT1D		
MT1E		
Latitude		

Next proceed to Verdict Form 2.

All twelve jurors agree with this Verdict Form 1.

Foreperson

VERDICT FORM 2

2. Has the plaintiff Genlyte Thomas Group LLC proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant Architectural Lighting Systems, Inc. has infringed claim 1 of the '254 Patent by the doctrine of equivalents

<u>ALS' PRODUCTS</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
MT2		
MT1D		
MT1E		
Latitude		

Next proceed to Verdict Form 3.

All twelve jurors agree with this Verdict Form 1.

Foreperson

VERDICT FORM 3

3. Has the plaintiff Genlyte Thomas Group LLC proven by a preponderance of the evidence that the defendant Architectural Lighting Systems, Inc. induced others to infringe claim 1 of the '254 Patent.

<u>ALS' PRODUCTS</u>	<u>YES</u>	<u>NO</u>
MT2		
MT1D		
MT1E		
Latitude		

All twelve jurors agree with this Verdict Form 1.

Foreperson

If you have answered any part of Verdict Forms 1, 2 or 3 "Yes", then proceed to Verdict Forms 4 and 5. Otherwise, if you have answered all of Verdict Forms 1, 2 or 3 "No", proceed to the bottom of this form and have the jury foreperson sign the verdict and ask for the Court officer.

VERDICT FORM 4

4. If your answer to any part or all of Verdict Forms 1, 2 or 3 is “Yes”, set forth the total amount of damages you find will compensate the Plaintiff for its damages due to Defendant’s infringement.

\$_____

All twelve jurors agree with this Verdict Form 1.

Foreperson

VERDICT FORM 5

5. If your answer to any part or all of Verdict Forms 1, 2 or 3 is “Yes”, do you believe that Plaintiff has proven by clear and convincing evidence that Defendant willfully infringed the ‘254 patent.

Yes _____ No _____

All twelve jurors agree with this Verdict Form 1.

Foreperson

When you have completed all of the Verdict Forms then proceed to the bottom of this form and have the jury foreperson sign the verdict and ask for the Court officer.